	Reference duide for Third Party Quality Monitoring-2017
Third Party Quality Mo	Annexure 3 nitoring Report of BLC projects under PMAY
Name of TPQM Agency	Innovative CADD Centre Indore 102,Arpan Apartment 35, Saket Nagar, Indore
Date of Visit	19/12/2018
Current Visit No.	1 st
Date of Previous visit	Nil

1	Name of the State /UT:	Uttar Pradesh				
2	Name of the City:	Deoria				
3	Name of Project:	Construction of 114 DUs under PMAY 25th CSMC 23/08/2017			PMAY	
4	Project Code:	09007621	4N0	, , , , ,		
5	Implementing Agency/ ULB	Salempur				
6	Location of the Project	All Wards	of ULB			
7	Type of Project : (i) BLC (New Construction), (ii)BLC (Enhancement)	BLC (New	Construct	ion)		
8	No. of Houses in the project	114				
9	Date of Approval by SLSMC	22/08/2017	,			
10	Approved Project Cost (Rs. Lakhs):	Central share	State share	ULB/ IA share	Beneficiary share	Total
10		(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)
	Data of a series of distribution	171.0	114.0	0.0	148.2	433.2
11	Date of sanction of 1 _{st} installment of Central Assistance by CSMC	23-08-2017				
12	Duration of the project	1 Yrs				
13	Date of Commencement	Scheduled Actual		tual		
			3-08-2017		07-09	-2017
14	Date of Completion		Scheduled		Expe	ected
-			3-08-2018		07-09	-2018
15	Inspection undertaken by (Name)	Shobhit Sha	arma		99267	84529
	(with contact detail) :	Surbhi Cho	uhan		91110	08987
16	Name of State representative present	Not Present				
17	Name of ULB representative present	Prabhat Kui	na		875622986	
18	Name of Community representative present during visit	List Enclosed with Photos				
19	Whether list of Beneficiaries finalized?	Yes				
20	If finalised, whether uploaded in PMAY MIS?	Yes				
21	or Layout	No				
22	Any other comment	No				

Innovative Gadd Center
Authorised Signatory

	Reference duide for fillid Farty Quality Worldon 19-2017
Third Party Quality Mo	Annexure 3 nitoring Report of BLC projects under PMAY
Name of TPQM Agency	Innovative CADD Centre Indore 102,Arpan Apartment 35, Saket Nagar, Indore
Date of Visit	19/12/2018
Current Visit No.	1 st
Date of Previous visit	Nil

1	Name of the State /UT:	Uttar Pradesh				
2	Name of the City:	Deoria				
3	Name of Project:	Constructi 36th	Construction of 27 DUs under PMAY 36th CSMC 23/07/2018		PMAY	
4	Project Code:	09007621	14N0			
5	Implementing Agency/ ULB	Salempur				
6	Location of the Project	All Wards	of ULB			
7	Type of Project : (i) BLC (New Construction), (ii)BLC (Enhancement)	BLC (Enha	ncement)			
8	No. of Houses in the project	27				
9	Date of Approval by SLSMC	21/07/2018				
	Approved Project Cost (Rs. Lakhs):	Central share	State share	ULB/ IA share	Beneficiary share	Total
10		(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)
		40.5	26.0	0.0	0.0	66.5
11	Date of sanction of 1 _{st} installment of Central Assistance by CSMC	23-07-2018				
12	Duration of the project	1 Yrs				
13	Date of Commencement	Scheduled Actual		tual		
	Bate of Commencement	23-07-2018 07-08-2018		-2018		
14	Date of Completion		Scheduled			ected
	Incorporation conductation by (Nigger)	Shobhit Sh	3-07-2019	,		-2019
15	Inspection undertaken by (Name) (with contact detail) :	Surbhi Cho				284529 108987
16	Name of State representative present	Not Present			91110	100907
17	Name of ULB representative present	Prabhat Ku	ma		875622986	
18	Name of Community representative present during visit	List Enclosed with Photos				
19	Whether list of Beneficiaries finalized ?	Yes				
20	If finalised, whether uploaded in PMAY MIS?	Yes				
21	State any deviation from sanctioned DPR in terms of number of houses or size or location or Layout	No				
22	Any other comment	No		1 7		

Innovative Cadd Center

Authorised Signatory

1	Whether ULB/ State have organized workshop/ training programs to educate the beneficiaries about quality, construction methods, adherence to disaster resistant technologies etc.	Yes
2	Whether testing facilities to check quality of material is available?	Testing facilities are not available at sites, however it is advisable that in the premises of the mouncipal council testing facilities should be developed for the beneficiaries
3	Whether regular tests of materials and construction products tests of materials are being done from accredited labs also?	Regular tests of materials and construction products tests of materials are not being done from accredited labs also.
4	(i) Whether the structural Designs are approved / Proof checked by competent Authority	NA
	(ii) Name of the approving authority for structural design.	NA
	(iii) Whether the statuary certificate for disaster resistance design and compliance of codal provision isendorsed by the structural designer on the structural drawings fit for execution, Specially in case of multistoried construction.	Not Required
	(iv) The work is being executed as per the approved drawings fit for execution.	NO
5	Whether the Inspection Registers, Site order book and Quality control Test registers are maintained and endorsed by the Engineer–in-charge?	Not availeble
6	Whether cement, steel, aggregates etc. being used in the work, got tested before use?	No (materials being purchaged by beneficiary.)
7	Whether manufacturer test certificate for cement, steel, pipes etc. have been obtained with supply and records are being maintained?	NA
8	Whether Cement is free from lumps and is stacked properly to prevent contact with moisture?	Yes
9	Whether soil investigations of the site proper have been done before the structural design and soil parameters have been accounted for in the structural design/drawings? If yes, attach copy of soil investigation report.	No, Soil investigations have been done



	Reference Guide f	or Third Party Quality Monitoring-2017
10	whether centering /snuttering is checked for staging &	
11	Specific control on RCC work like: mixing by full bag capacity hopper fed mixer, control of slump, placing/compaction with vibrator. (proportioning with boxes not permitted)	Mannual mixing with hand compaction
12	Whether cement register is maintained and checked at site. Comment on method of stacking.	Some Benificiaries maintained
13	Whether concrete mix is nominal or design mix? (Nominal mix not permitted for quality concrete).	Nominal mix has being used
14	What is the Mode of Concrete mixing (batch mix/manual)? In case of manual mixing, whether mixing is by weight or by volume of ingredients? (Volumetric mixing not permitted).	Construction work is being done by beneficiaries through manual mixing.
15	Whether Mixer/Vibrator as specified is available at site with adequate means to run them during concreting?	NA
16	Whether Surface of reinforcement is clean and free from rust?	No
17	Whether potable water is used for construction?	YES
18	Whether proper water / cement ratio has been ensured?	NA
19	Whether the concrete being cured adequately as per requirements?	YES
20	Whether bricks are well burnt, of rectangular shape, with sharp edges, free from cracks and of correct size?	YES
21	Whether	
	i) Execution of Depth & width of Foundation is as per drawing/ adequate & bottom levelled	Adequate
	ii) Plinth height is as per drawing/ adequate	Adequate
	iii) Horizontal bands (as applicable) have been provided	Partially Provided.
22	Whether quality has been checked by TPQMA/ State agency? If yes, details there of ?	YES, ULB staff has been checked. Found good

Innovative Cadd Center Authorised Signatory

	ü	ċ		
ĕ	ğ		ř	
3	32		7	
é	Š.	ĕ	à	

23	Quality of work and workmanship, Comments on		
(i)	RCC work (concrete, Reinforcement detailing, cover to reinforcement). Whether Columns are in plumb (check for verticality)	Jointing and RCC bands are partially provided	
(ii)	Masonry (Joint details, verticality). Check adequate RCC bands are provided.	Masonary work is good.	
(iii)	Shuttering (Type of material-pucca/ katcha, support spacing)	Steel shuttering are mainly used but somewhere wooden shuttering are also used with steel shuttering.	
(iv)	Bar bending and stirrups bending , placement and cover to reinforcement bars.		
(v)	Plastering	Satisfactory	
(vi)	Doors and windows	Satisfactory	
vii)	Seepage, if any	Not found	
viii)	Cracks, if any	NA	
(ix)	Honey combing, if any	Honeycomb are observed that in some place	
(x)	Any other	Satisfactory	
24	Whether provision has been made for Service lines (Electrical, Water Supply,Sanitation etc.)	Yes	
25	Whether floor slope (especially) in bath,WC, kitchen, terrace and balcony etc. are proper?	Yes	
26	Whether adequate plinth height (above the general ground level) is provided to the ground floor of the building to avoid possibility of rainwater and reptiles in the building.	Yes	
27	Whether dampness/leakages noticed? If yes, state location and probable reasons.	No dampness found	
28	Whether remedial measures are undertaken by IA/ ULB to stop Dampness & Leakages if any?		
29	Whether disaster resistant features have been incorporated?	The construction is being carried by beneficiaries and quality of construction maintained satisfactory.	
30	Any other comments	The inspected sites are in order and construction is being carried out by beneficiaries themselves. The construction	
31	Report on Overall assessment of quality	More supervisory & technical staff is	

Innovative Galod Center

Authorised Signatory

1	PROGRESS - HOUSING COMPONENTS Numbers of houses sanctioned in the Project	1	
2		114	27
3		1	0
4	The second in progress	113	27
	Stages of Progress:		
i)	Foundation level (Nos.)	52	0
ii)	Plinth level (Nos.)	10	0
iii)		5	0
iv)	Roof level (Nos.)	46	0
V)	Finishing level (Nos.)	0	0
5	Numbers of houses not started	0	0
6	Reason for non-starter of houses, if any	Surrendred	
7	Numbers of houses occupied by the Beneficiaries	0	
8	Reasons for Non-occupation of houses (If any)- specific reasons to be given	Under construction	
0	Sing (III ii Io	Sanctioned	As per Implementatio
9	Size of Unit (Carpet Area)	Proposed avg. 30 sq.m	Most of the houses are 30 sqm
10	Overall physical progress of Housing (in %)	Approx (51%)	
11	Whether the progress of houses has been ensured through geo-tagged photographs at various construction level?	Yes	
12	Whether DBT of fund to individual beneficiary is ensured in the project?	Yes	
13	Whether the building plan(s) conform to NBC norms?	Yes	
14	Whether authenticated building plans and revisions if any, are available?	Yes	
5	Deviation, if any	No	
6	Any other comment	No	
C	IVIC INFRASTRUCTURE		
1	Whether provision of Civic infrastructure components (i.e. water supply, Sewerage, Drainage, Roads, SWM etc.) has been ensured?	Yes	

Innovative Cadd Center

2	Pradhan Mantri Awas Yojana (Urban) - Housing for All Mission		
2	Whether the Existing/proposed infrastructure components are independent and/or integrated with city level infrastructure?	NA	
3	Any other comments No		
Ε.	SOCIAL INFRASTRUCTURE		
1	Whether provision of Social Amenities (i.e. School, Anganwadi, Health centre, Community centre, Livelihood centre etc.) has been ensured?	Yes	
2	Any other comments	No	
F. F	INANCIAL PROGRESS & FUND UTILIZATION (Rs. in Lakhs)	
1	Sanctioned fund for entire project.	433.20	66.52
2	Amount of funds received up to date	114.00	0.00
3	Up-to date Expenditure	114.00	0.00
4	Amount of funds Utilized (out of received)	114.00	0.00
5	Amount of Expenditure by ULB	Nil	
6	Amount of Expenditure by Beneficiaries	Construction work is being done by beneficiaries	
7	Balance funds Expected/due from stakeholders	114.00	27.00
G. (COST VARIATION and TIME-OVERRUNS		
	variation:		
1	Whether there is cost variation? If yes, what are the reasons?	Not applicable for B	BLC works
ime	Overruns:		
1	Whether there is time overrun? If yes, what are the reasons?	Not applicable for BLC works	
1. F	EMEDIAL MEASURES TO IMPROVE PROGRES	SS AND QUALI	TY
Ind	ertaken by Implementation agency to improve the:		
1	Physical Progress	51%	
2	Financial Progress	Timely payment of i assured to beneficiar	nstallments must be ries.
3	Quality management	Satisfactory	7 E
4	Comments and suggestions of TPQMA on above	Construction carried out by beneficiaries a good. Maintain a workshop for beneficiaries ward wise to give knowledge about construction.	
C	OURT CASES AND LITIGATIONS		
1	(To be specified)	Nil	

Innovative Cadd Center

Anthorised Signatory

	Reference Guide fo	or Third Party Quality Monitoring-2017
J. (OTHERS	
1	Any innovative/ cost effective/ green technology has been used	NO
2	Feedback of beneficiaries regarding provision of Physical & Social infrastructure:	Satisfactory
3	Reasons for delay in completion of housing and Infrastructure, if any:	Work in progress
4	Any specific observation by the beneficiary	No
5	Overall observation on the project (with adequate photographs covering project to a large extent including quality issues as applicable)	
	Critical observations	Progress of the project is satisfactory
	2. Action suggested by TPQMA to SLNA and IA	Nil
6	Action taken report on previous report: i. Observations of TPQMA ii Action suggested by TPQMA iii Action taken by Beneficiaries/ IA (Implementation Agency) iv Whether TPQMA is satisfied with the action taken	This was first visit
7	TPQMA's Overview of the Project (in 400-500 words) in a separate sheet	The overall project progress is satisfactory and beneficiaries are active, the construction is being carried by beneficiaries themselves and guidance on construction techniques is being provided by PMC. To improve the quality of work regular visit of ULB officials is recommended.



Innovative Card Center Indore,

Authorised Signatory


	ULB NAME -SA	LEMPUR
SR.NO.	Name of Benificiery	Father/Hushband Name
1	Noorjahan	Mansoor Ali
2	GUDDU KHAN	SW MUSTUFA KHAN
3	SANGITA DEVI	MOTI
4	PARAWEJ	ZAKEER
5	GUDIYA	WAZEER
6	MANJU DEVI	PRADEEP KUMAR
7	SONAMATI DEVI	VISHVANATH
8	LILAVATI DEVI	RAMDHANI PRASAD
9	KESMATI	MUNNA
10	MANBHAVATI DEVI	MOTI
11	HOSILA DEVI	BALAM
12	NARVADA DEVI	GULAB
13	RAMANAND	SAMHARU
14	PRABHAVATI DEVI	RAMBILASH
15	KANTI DEVI	PURNVASHI
16	CHINTA DEVI	PRITHABI PRASAD
17	SUNITA DEVI	SANTLAL
18	PRADEEP KUMAR	YOGENDRA
19	SUNITA DEVI	SITARAM
20	SANGEETA DEVI	BIRENDAR
21	RAMAVATI DEVI	RAMBALI
22	BINDU DEVI	RAMESH
23	FULENDARI	BIRAJU
24	GEETA DEVI	BABULAL
25	ANJU DEVI	ASHOK KANNOJIYA
26	GYAN MATI	LALDHAR
27	SHAHEED	HANEEF
28	HIR LAL	JWAHIR
29	KAMLI DEVI	KEDAR HALWAI
30	SUGREEV MOURYA	BHAGAL MOURYA
31	RANJEET PARJAPATI	SUKHDEV PARJAPATI
32	HARDEV PARJAPATI	SHYAMLAL PARZAPATI
33	KAILASH PARSAD SONY	LATE. SURAJ PARSAD SETH
34	SMT SARITA DEVI	SHRI DAYAEAM PRAJAPATI

36	CHAMPA DEVI	LATEHATIMAN
37	MANJU LATA	SIYARAM
38	URMILA DEVI	RAMESHWAR
39	OM PRAKASH JALSAWAL	LATE SHRI KANHAIYA LAL
40	SHRI SHIV KUMAR RAWAT	LATE RAMLAKHAN RAWAT
41	DINBESH KUMAR GORD	LATE BIHARI RAM GORD
42	PRABHAVATI	SHYAMNARAYAN
43	RADHIKA	DASI
44	RAJESH KUMAR	NARAYAN RAM
45	RITA	MUKES
46	SANGITA DEVI	JITENDRA
47	SHANTI DEVI	RAJDEV
48	SHIVA KUMAR	NIRHU

Authorised Signatory



Innovative Codd Center



Innovative Codd Center